

Message

From: Szelag, Matthew [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=F1E48230D96943F8ACB72810E32CE8D6-SZELAG, MATTHEW]
Sent: 4/22/2014 4:50:35 PM
To: Brown, Chad (ECY) [CHBR461@ECY.WA.GOV]
CC: mgil461@ECY.WA.GOV [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=c7ab63dfcb56401284b16f8d24341337-mgil461@ECY.WA.GOV]
Subject: RE: Target List of 13 chemicals for tribal toxics study

Hi Chad,

Have you heard anything back from James on your request? Do you think it makes sense to have another conference call on this?

Please let me know how we can help and if you want to discuss further, give me a call. Thanks,

Matthew Szelag | Water Quality Standards Coordinator
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency | Region 10
1200 6th Avenue, Suite 900, DWW-131 | Seattle, WA 98101
P: (206) 553.5171 | szelag.matthew@epa.gov

From: Brown, Chad (ECY) [mailto:CHBR461@ECY.WA.GOV]
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 5:10 PM
To: Covington, James
Cc: Szelag, Matthew; mgil461@ECY.WA.GOV
Subject: RE: Target List of 13 chemicals for tribal toxics study

James,

Thank you for the discussion yesterday. As we explained discuss, the Washington State rule-making process requires the agency to develop a cost/benefit analysis and determine that the probable benefits outweigh the probable costs of the rule. We meet that requirement through a qualitative, and where feasible, a quantitative analysis. We are attempting to provide quantitative information of health benefits with non-cancer chemicals, as we have with chems with a cancer risk based criterion. Our lead on the toxic criteria is out of the office and I am working with our economic staff on the development of the CBA. So, I am hoping to make the most sense with this request as possible.

Below is a list of chemicals for which we will be proposing a new criterion based on non-cancer effects (and for which we commonly have detections during our permitting processes). We would appreciate any information or thoughts on the health benefits/effect that may be quantifiable due to a further reduction (or in some cases potential increases) of these chemicals in receiving waters. We understand that quantifying benefits of threshold-effect chemicals may be difficult but if you or other staff have suggestions, we would appreciate the input.

The factors by which these criteria concentrations will increase or decrease have not yet been determined (due to ongoing policy discussions) however, if this is an important element to include, we can provide a possible scenario. Also, the inclusion of Arsenic and PCBs on this list may be confusing but we are seeking non-cancer effect information for other reasons.

Chemical
1,4 Dichlorobenzene
Antimony
Chloroform

Diethyl phthalate
Ethylbenzene
Arsenic
PCBs
Thallium
Toluene

Thank you for your help and please let us know if you have any questions.

Chad

Chad Brown | Water Quality Standards | WA Dept. of Ecology | 360-407-6128 | chad.brown@ecy.wa.gov

From: Brown, Chad (ECY)
Sent: Wednesday, April 09, 2014 12:06 PM
To: 'Szelag, Matthew'
Subject: FW: Target List of 13 chemicals for tribal toxics study

Late list of chems...

These are some results from our preliminary work to identify chemicals that have been detected and/or where limits have been assigned,

Below is a combined list of chemicals that meet one of the follow criteria for inclusion on a list of chemicals that are most likely to drive new treatment requirements:

1. Already have a limit required in at least one permit
2. Have been identified as possibly triggering a new limit in a permit based on PP scan data review.
(not all PP scans concentrations have been reassessed for Reasonable Potential Determination. So a few other chemicals could be added due to this reason.)
3. Is a chemical that is on the top 10 most detected chemicals. This is the list that I sent previously
(Nickel was removed because current or potential limits would be based on Aquatic Life Criteria – as is the case with most metals)

It is important to note that depending on the final policy decisions, some of these chemical criteria may become less stringent due to many of the factors that we have already discussed (i.e. updated toxicity factors). Therefore, increased treatment beyond what is currently in place to meet the NTR criteria would not be necessary in those cases. We won't have the direction (up or down) of the criteria until the final policy decisions are made.

Please feel free to call or email if you have any questions.

Chemicals
1,4 Dichlorobenzene
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine
4,4'-DDE
4,4'-DDT
Acrylonitrile
Antimony
Arsenic

Benzene
Benzo(a)Anthracene
Benzo(a)pyrene
Benzo(b)Fluoranthene
Benzo(k)fluoranthene
Bis(2-Chloroethyl)Ether
Bis(2-ethylhexyl) Phthalate
Chrysene
Cyanide
Dibenzo (a,h) Anthracene
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene
Dichlorobromomethane
Diethyl phthalate
Di-n-Butyl Phthalate
Ethylbenzene
Mercury
Methylene chloride
N-Nitrosodi-n-Propylamine
PCBs
Pentachlorophenol
Tetrachloroethylene
Thallium
Toluene
Vinyl chloride

From: Char Naylor [<mailto:char.naylor@puyalluptribe.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, March 19, 2014 10:07 AM
To: Brown, Chad (ECY); Serdar, Dave (ECY); Gildersleeve, Melissa (ECY); Niemi, Cheryl (ECY)
Cc: Braley, Susan (ECY)
Subject: RE: Target List of 13 chemicals for tribal toxics study

Thanks so much Chad....

From: Brown, Chad (ECY) [<mailto:CHBR461@ECY.WA.GOV>]
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2014 1:26 PM
To: Serdar, Dave (ECY); Gildersleeve, Melissa (ECY); Niemi, Cheryl (ECY)
Cc: Char Naylor; Braley, Susan (ECY)
Subject: FW: Target List of 13 chemicals for tribal toxics study
Importance: High

Forwarding to Susan (email went to Susi Bragg)

Dave, below are the chemicals that we identified from the permits that we looked at. Would you add any based on your larger review of PARIS permit data?

Antimony
Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate
Cyanide

1,4 Dichlorobenzene
Diethyl phthalate
Methylene chloride
Nickel
Tetrachloroethylene
Toluene

From: Char Naylor [<mailto:char.naylor@puyalluptribe.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2014 10:29 AM
To: Gildersleeve, Melissa (ECY); Niemi, Cheryl (ECY); Brown, Chad (ECY)
Cc: Bragg, Susan (ECY)
Subject: Target List of 13 chemicals for tribal toxics study
Importance: High

In addition to those 4 chemicals listed by HDR in their treatment analysis (PCBs, mercury, arsenic, and benzo(a)pyrene, do you guys have suggestions for the other 9 target chemicals? The project is moving forward, so please let us know as soon as you can if you have thoughts and as always, thank you.

Char Naylor
Puyallup Tribe of Indians
Water Quality Manager
Phone: 253-680-5520
Cell: 253-405-7815
E-Mail: char.naylor@puyalluptribe.com

